NON TECHNICAL SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION

In this report the findings of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the hydrocarbon activities for prospecting, research and exploitation within the license area of the Ionian Sea, including the Messiniakos and Lakonikos gulf are presented. The under study area is within marine areas belonging to the Greek Republic (according to law v.4001/2011).

The scope of the Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change with this SEA is to ensure environmental protection and sustainable development of the area of Western Patraikos Gulf. The SEA was conducted in accordance with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on 27th July 2001, regarding the assessment of impact on the environment from certain plans and/or programs and the relevant Greek laws, in the frame of the Ministry's initiative for prospecting and exploitation of hydrocarbons according to the "open door" procedure. This initiative is referring to marine areas of the Ionian Sea and south of Crete island, which will be granted by Greek authorities for the hydrocarbon activities purpose (ΦΕΚ Β 2186-2014, ΥΑ Δ1-Α-12892_31-07-2014, Εφημερίδα Ευρωπαϊκής Ενωσης 2014/C 400/03-13.11.2014).

The Directive 2001/42/EC was incorporated within Greek law system by KYA 107017/28.8.2006 "Assessment of environmental impacts of certain plans and programs in accordance to Directive 2001/42/EC".

The SEA was prepared by the Hellenic Center for Marine Research (HCMR), in collaboration with the University of Thessaly and the consultant company "Arcenviro".



Fig. 1. Location of 11 marine areas in Ionian Sea considered for hydrocarbon liscensing, belonging to the Greek Republic.

The license areas under study is part of a marine area of Greece and consists of the 11 blocks presented in Figure 1.

In Table I the surface area of each block is presented.

Table I. Surface area of each marine block.

Block number	Area (square klm)	Block number	Area (square klm)
1	1801,7	11	6572,9
2	2422,1	12	7092,2
3	3394,2	13	6069,7
4	3032,6	14	5954,2
5	3157,2	15	6175,3
6	4036,9	16	6764,0
7	3146,5	17	5422,3
8	4615,7	18	9543,8
9	5127,8	19	6143,9
10	3420,6	20	7197,7

According to Directive 2001/42/EC, the purpose of an SEA is to identify, describe, and evaluate the "likely significant environmental effects of implementing the plan or programme, and reasonable alternatives taking into account the objectives and the geographical scope of the plan or programme." This SEA focuses broadly on those activities most likely to result from the licensing programme, including prospecting, exploration, and exploitation. It is expected that detailed, specific Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) will be prepared for individual projects.

The main objective of this SEA is the study of the block areas 1 to 11 in Ionian Sea and Messiniakos and Lakonikos gulves (Fig. 1) and their geographical coordinates are given to the next Annex

The specific objectives of the SEA include:

- Description of the hydrocarbon activities that may reasonably be expected to occur in the licensing area;
- Presentaton of the existing environmental and socio-economic information;
- Identification of potential environmental effects of hydrocarbon activities and evaluate those likely to be significant;
- Recommendations of additional management and monitoring measures where necessary to ensure environmental protection and sustainable use of resources; and
- Identification of data gaps and shortcomings in the existing information that could be remedied by further study.

The SEA process included a review of the legal and regulatory context for offshore hydrocarbon activities in the Greek licence area of Ionian Sea.

2. LICENSING PROGRAM AND REGULATORY CONTEXT

Greece has incorporated in its legislation a major part of Directive 94/22/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 1994 on conditions for granting and using authorizations for the prospecting, exploration, and production of hydrocarbons by Law 2289/1995, whereas the new Law 4001/2011 updates and clarifies the already existing legislation regarding hydrocarbon activities and licensing granted by the Greek state (art. 156 paragraph 17).

Greece has also incorporated in its national legislation Directive 2001/42 regarding the assessment of impacts on environment of certain plans and programs. The scope of the Directive is to ensure high level protection of the environment and the incorporation of environmental aspects during preparation and adoption of plans and programs, in order to promote sustainable development, ensuring that according to the directive an assessment of environmental effects will be performed for certain plans and programs which may have significant effects to the environment.

Harmonization of Directive 2001/42 in national legislation was done by KYA 107017/2006. KYA 107017/2006 (FEK 1225/B/5.9.2006) concerns the assessment of environmental impacts of certain plans and programs, in accordance to Directive 2001/42/EC.

Greece is also party to a number of international conventions and protocols, including MARPOL and the Barcelona Convention. Under the Barcelona Convention, there is an offshore protocol specifying control measures for hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation.

For the present SEA 3 main phases of hydrocarbon activities, which are based on existing legislation (Law 2289/1995) and the licensing practice by the Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change: prospecting, and exploitation.

3. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

This SEA Report includes a description of the current state of knowledge of the region covered by the hydrocarbon licensing program. The affected environment is divided into the physical, geological biological, and socioeconomic environments of the area of Ionian Sea and Messiniakos and Lakonikos Gulf.

The physical environment consists of the meteorological and oceanographic conditions in the lease areas, the characteristics of the sea floor, and the existing acoustic environment.

The biological environment within the license areas includes the following living elements:

- Marine plankton, including both phytoplankton (flora), which form the base of the food chain, and zooplankton (fauna), which link phytoplankton to fish production;
- Benthos, which refers to the animals (benthic fauna) and plants (benthic flora) that are found on, in or near the seabed;
- Nekton, which includes all the animals, but primarily fish species, found in the waters of the study area;
- Marine birds or sea birds, with particular attention to those species specifically noted in the Barcelona Convention Action Plan for Sea Birds;
- Marine mammals, sea turtles, and other protected or endangered species; and
- Areas of special concern such as Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)

Key marine environmental characteristics of the region include high salinity in sea waters, low concentration of nutrients, and low productivity and high seismicity.

The socioeconomic environment discussions provide an overview of the main socioeconomic features relevant to the coastal area of Ionian Sea and Messiniakos and Lakonikos Gulf, that may be affected by hydrocarbon activities.

Economic resources reviewed are as follows:

- Commercial and recreational fisheries:
- Aquaculture;
- Shipping and marine operations including ports and oil terminals;
- Telecommunications, specifically submarine cable systems;
- Recreation and tourism; and
- Archaeological resources, antiquities, and cultural heritage.

4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

During the assessment of possible environmental impacts in the report, three phases of offshore hydrocarbon activities are recognised:

- Prospecting: activities to locate hydrocarbons and/or evaluate hydrocarbon potential by methods other than drilling. Prospecting includes seismic surveys, geological and geochemical sampling, electromagnetic surveys, and remote sensing.
- Exploration: the process of drilling one or more exploratory wells in a block to determine whether commercially exploitable hydrocarbons are present.
- Exploitation (development and production): the process of exploiting commercial quantities of hydrocarbons. Key activities include drilling of development wells, installation of production facilities, installation of export facilities such as pipelines, routine operation of these systems, and eventual decommissioning.

Potential effects were evaluated by considering the "impact factors" (causes or sources) involved in each phase of hydrocarbon activities as presented in Table II.

Examples of important impact factors include airgun noise during seismic surveys, drilling fluids and cuttings discharges during exploration and exploitation, and placement of production facilities on the sea floor.

Table II. Impact factors for phases of offshore hydrocarbon activities.

Prospecting	Exploration	Exploitation
 Airgun noise Vessel traffic and towed streamers Effluent discharges Air pollutant emissions 	 Drilling rig installation and removal Drilling rig presence Drilling discharges Other effluent discharges 	 Facility installation Presence of structures Drilling discharges Operational discharges Marine debris
Sea floor disturbance	 Marine debris Air pollutant emissions Well testing Support activities 	 Air pollutant emissions Support activities Structure removal

The following Table III summarises potential effects of offshore hydrocarbon activities in the licence areas of Ionian Sea. The effects are grouped by phase (prospecting, exploration, and exploitation), followed by a separate listing for accidents. Within each phase, effects are organised by the impact factors identified for that phase. The table lists existing control measures and, for potentially significant effects, any additional mitigation measures recommended.

Table III. Potential effects from offshore hydrocarbon activities in marine areas of Ionian Sea (according to SEA Cyprus, 2008)

xts Existing Control Measures Additional Mitigation Recommended Identified		None Require licensees to implement a proto- col to reduce the risk of auditory trauma to marine manurals and sea turdes. The protocol should include at a minimum, provisions for soft start, visual monitor- ring, and shutdown of the array.	Licensees must conduct operations in an environmentally acceptable and safe manner. It is assumed that licensees would notify marritime authorities of survey location and schedule. Also, it is assumed that survey vessels would use appropriate signals in accordance with International Adentitional away.	•	MARPOL compliance None ic	• None • None	
Minor or Negligible Effects		Disturbance of fishes, plankton, other or ganisms	Small risk of vessels striking a marine mammal or seaturtle	Minor impacts on water quality similar to existing vessels in region	Minor impacts on air quality similar to existing vessel and aircraft traffic		
Potentially Significant Effects		Auditory trauma to marine mammals and sea turtles (including endangered, critically endangered, and vulnerable species)	Potential conflicts with fishing or shipping activities (e.g., temporary exclusion from certain areas, gear damage or entanglement)	None	None	None	
Impact Factor	Prospecting	Airgun noise	Vessel traffic and towed •	Effluent discharges	Air pollutant emissions	Sea floor disturbance	Exploration

for deepwater corals and chemosynthetic communities Licensees must maintain a separation distance of 100 m between any potential deepwater corals or chemosynthetic communities and any sea floor disturbances (including anchoring) within the activity footprint Licensees must conduct a remote sensing survey to evaluate project area for shipwrecks and submit an archaeological assessment report by a qualified marine archaeologist, including recommendations for avoidance or further study	Nome	Licensees must evaluate project area for potential presence of deepwater corals and chemosynthetic communities, and maintain a separation distance of at least 500 m from any drilling discharges	Nome	None (existing measures assumed to be effective in avoiding significant effects)	Nome
•	•	•	•	es in	•
	Nome	None	MARPOL compliance	MARPOL compliance Licensees should perform site restoration activities in accordance with good international petroleum industry practice	MARPOL compliance
	•	•	•	• •	•
bottom benthos	Drilling rigs are likely to attract pelagic fish and plankton; noise may cause marine mammals or sea turtles to avoid the area	Burial and anoxia effects on soft bottom benthos	Minor impacts on water quality near drilling rigs, similar to existing ship traffic in region	Water quality impacts cluttering of sea floor, shorelines	Minor impacts on air
	•	•	•	•	•
existing deepwater corals and chemo synthetic communities, or historic shipwrocks due to placement of structures and/or anchors	None	Burial and anoxia effects on deepwater corals, or chemo- synthetic communities if present within 500m	None	Risk of death or injury to marine mammals, sea turtles, or birds due to ingestion of or entanglement with accident- tally discarded debris	None
	•	•	•	•	•
and removal	Drilling rig presence (including noise and illumination)	Drilling discharges	Other effluent discharges	Marine debris	Air pollutant emissions

	Require licensees to use a high- efficiency burner to minimise fallout of oil droplets and monitor for sheen on sea surface	Advise licensees to avoid flying over IBAs		Require licensees to evaluate project area for deepwater corals and chemosynthetic communities. Require licensees to maintain a separation distance of 100 m between any potential deepwater corals or chemosynthetic communities and any sea floor disturbances (including anchoring sea floor template installation, and pipeline construction). Require licensees to conduct a remote sensing survey to evaluate project area for shipwrecks and submit an archaeological assessment report by a qualified marine archaeologist, including recommendations for avoidance or further study.	Nome	Licensees must evaluate project area for potential presence of deepwater corals and chemosynthetic communi-
	•	•			•	•
	MARPOL compliance	None		None	Nome	None
		•		•		•
quality, similar to other vessel and aircraft traffic in region	Minor impacts on air quality	Small risk of vessel striking a marine mammal or sea turde		Physical damage to soft boutom benthos	Platforms are likely to attract pelagic fish and plankton; underwater noise may affect behaviour of manine mammals or turtles	Burial and smothering of soft bottom benthos
	•	•		•	•	•
	Fallout of oil droplets due to incomplete combustion could produce a sheen on sea surface	Helicopters flying over Important Bird Areas (IBAs) could disturb coastal birds		Physical damage to deep water corals, chemosynthetic communities, or historic shipwrecks due to placement of structures and/or anchors	None	Burial and anoxia effects on deepwater corals or chemo- synthetic communities if
	•	•		•	•	•
	Well testing	Support activities	Exploitation	Facility installation	Presence of structures	Drilling discharges

ties, and maintain a separation distance of at least 500 m from any drilling discharges	Nome	None (existing measures assumed to be effective in avoiding significant effects)	Nome	Advise licensees to avoid flying over IBAs	Require protocol for protecting marine mamma is and turtles during structure removal in accordance with infernational best practice.		Oil spill trajectory modelling should be conducted to aid in understanding the fate of an oil spill at various locations in the licence area, the potentially affected environmental resources, and minimum response times
	•	•	•	•	•		•
	MARPOL compliance	MARPOL compliance Licensees must perform site restoration activities in accordance with good international petroleum industry practice	MARPOL compliance	None	None		MARPOL requires Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
	•	• •	•	•	•		•
	Minor impacts on water quality near offshore facilities, similar to existing ship traffic in region	Water quality impacts; cluttering of sea floor, shorelines.	Minor impacts on air quality, similar to other vessel and aircraff traffic in region	Small risk of vessel striking a marine mammal or sea turtle	Death or injury of fishes and other marine life near structures		Localized effects on air quality due to volatilization of hydrocarbons • Effects on soft bottom benthos around welkites in the event of a subsea blowout or a drilling fluid base oil spill sinking to the
	•	•	•	•	•		
present within 500 m	None	Risk of death or injury to marine mammals, turtles, or birds due to ingestion of or entanglement with accident- tally or improperly discarded debris	None	Helicopters flying over IBAs could disturb coastal birds	Potential death or injury of a marine mammal or turde (including endangered, critically endangered, or vulnerable species) if explosives are used		Depending on size and nature of spill, effects could include violation of water quality standards; contamination of sediments; death or injury of marine mammals, turtles, and birds; contamination of coastal habitats including beaches; and interference with
	•	•	•	•	•		•
	Operational discharges	Marine debris	Air pollutant emissions	Support activities	Structure removal	Accidents	Oil spills including Crude oil spill from a blowout Diesel fitel spill Drilling fluid base oil spill Streamer cable fluid leak or spill

	Licensees should be required to submit information on expected H2S levels for prospective drill sites as part of the approval process for drilling activities. Where there is a significant risk of encountering H2S during operations, licensees should be required to submit an H2S contingency plan.
	The authority which give the license can require by licensees to submit a well location report including geological and geophysical information and safety measures to be used in the drilling of the well
	•
sea floor	None
fishing, shipping, recreation, and tourism during response and clearup operations	Violation of air quality standards; potential death or injury of humans on offshore facilities and adjacent waters; potential death or injury of wildlife including birds
	Hydrogen sulfide (HzS) release

MARPOL = International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Key Findings and Recommendations

The following conclusions and recommendations are based on the potentially significant effects identified during the SEA process for the marine area of the Western Patraikos Gulf. Each "issue" refers to an impact factor and the potentially affected resource(s).

Issue 1: Effects of Airgun Noise on Marine Mammals and Turtles

Ionian Sea and specifically the under study areas for this SEA support a diverse marine mammal fauna, including several species listed by the IUCN as endangered (e.g., fin whale) or vulnerable (e.g., sperm whale). Common species are likely to include the bottlenose dolphin, common dolphin, and striped dolphin. The rare, critically endangered Mediterranean monk seal may be present in nearshore or coastal waters of Greek Seas (Adamandopoulou et al. 1999a), but is unlikely to be found in offshore waters of the licence area due to the depth

Three sea turtles species occur in the area; the green (Chelonia mydas) and Caretta (Caretta caretta) are endangered, and the leatherback turtle (Darmochelys coriacea) is critically endangered. In Greece only Caretta is laying eggs mostly in Ionian Sea coasts (Zakynths, Kyparissiakos Gulf and Cephalonia), and in Crete.

A common feature of most marine seismic surveys is the use of "airguns" (a compressed air sound source that is usually towed behind a vessel) to generate sound waves to penetrate the earth's crust. During these surveys, there is a risk of temporary or permanent auditory trauma to marine mammals and sea turtles within a range of a several hundred metres from a typical airgun array, particularly if they swim beneath the array.

Baleen whales (e.g., fin whales) and some deep-diving species (e.g., sperm whales and beaked whales) may be at even greater risk than small dolphins. Also behavioral changes also have been recorded, however their significance is not fully understood.

Relatively little is known of sea turtle hearing, but sounds produced by airguns overlap with the frequency range where turtle hearing is most sensitive. Sea turtles are also showing an alert behavior, when exposed in high levels of sounds from airguns.

Marine mammals and sea turtles may avoid seismic survey areas at ranges of several kilometres from an airgun array.

The SEA did not identify any legal regulations or guidelines specifically protecting marine mammals or sea turtles from auditory trauma during seismic surveys. Mitigation recommendations are proposed based on widely used protective measures that have been developed for the U.K. and the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

Recommendation: During seismic surveys, licensees should be required to implement a protocol to reduce the risk of auditory trauma to marine mammals and sea turtles. The protocol should include, at a minimum, the following provisions:

- Soft start: Every time the use of the seismic array is initiated, "soft-start" procedures should be
 used to allow time for marine mammals and turtles to move away before the array reaches full
 power. The process should begin with the smallest source in an array and build up slowly over 20
 to 40 minutes.
- Visual monitoring: Beginning at least 30 minutes before startup during daylight hours, visual observers should monitor a safety (exclusion) zone of 500-m radius around the source vessel.

Startup of the array cannot begin until the safety zone is clear of marine mammals and turtles for at least 20 minutes.

- Acoustic monitoring: beginning at the same time as the visual monitoring during daytime by
 experienced personnel, and to continue after the optical monitoring is stoped during night time as
 long as the airgun activity continues. Startup of the array cannot begin until the safety zone is
 clear of marine mammals and turtles for at least in a radius of 500 m.
- Shutdown of the array: Visual monitoring of the sea surface should continue while the seismic
 array is operating during daylight hours, and the array should be shut down if a whale, monk seal,
 or sea turtle enters the safety zone during visual monitoring. A whale is defined as a cetacean
 other than Family Delphinidae (i.e., including any baleen, sperm, or beaked whale).

Issue 2: Effects of Sea Floor Disturbances and Drilling Discharges on Benthic communities

In the coastal area of Ionian Sea is estimated that extensive Poseidonia meadows exist (see Fig. 4.71). Poseidonia (*Posidonia oceanica* (Linnaeus) Delile, 1813) is endemic dominant phanerogam in Mediterranean. Its ecological quality is high and influences directly or indirectly several economical activities (as are fisheries, tourism, coastal development). Poseidonia's importance in function and structure of coastal ecosystems is so high as to be considered as "manufacturer" of ecosystems and protected by community legislation (Directive 92/43/EC) as a priority ecotype (1120).

P. oceanica medaws are habitats and breeding areas of several marine animals and plants. The encountered high diversity is due to the complicated structure of the meadows. For example in the lower community of the meadows typical species of greated depths can be found, which cannot survive in such high areas of the sublittoral zone (Molinier, 1960). Finally the hard and dense rhizomes change the homogenous sandy substrate in a system of channels and cavities, which can be colonized by typical species of corals communities. The human activities have negative effects in P. oceanica and can directly hurt the plant either mechanically or indirectly by changing the ecological conditions which are important for its growth. Due to the high demand of the plant for sufficient illumination and suitable substrate conditions, P. oceanica is especially vulnerable in sea water transparency changes and to the sediment quality.

Composition and structure of benthic animals community in studied Ionian Sea areas seems to be defined by depth in combination to substrate type (grain size), as well as by typical species of Poseidonia meadows. The deepest sampling stations wer the poorest in number of species and individuals, therefore presenting lower diversity. However in areas with depths greater than 500m, the red schrimb (Aristaeomorpha foliacea) was found, a species with significant commercial value in Northern Mediterranean, which recently became commercially important in Greece, as well. The mean biomass estimated in 16.3 kg/h of fishing effort.

In subchapter 4.2.2.2 the existence of the black coral colony of the deep waters *Leiopathes glaberrima* as well as many colonies of the "bamboo" coral *Isidella elongate* is reported in the deepwater zone of Ionian Sea (Vafidis *et al.* 2006); these have undergone considerable abundance decrease in Italian Ionian Sea due to trawling activities.

However it must be noticed that the deepwater zone of Ionian Sea as far as the benthic communities are considered, remains up to day barely known.

During offshore hydrocarbon activities, deepwater corals, if existing, would be susceptible to physical damage from anchoring, placement of production facilities on the sea floor, and installation of pipelines. Because they do not depend on sunlight, these corals are not likely to be significantly affected by light

occlusion due to turbidity from drilling discharges. However, if present, they could be adversely affected or buried by drilling discharges (muds and cuttings) settling on them.

Most significant effects of hydrocarbon activities on deepwater benthic communities and corals could be avoided by requiring licensees to conduct site-specific mitigation for individual projects. This is the basis for the following recommendation.

Recommendation: Prior to conducting activities that involve drilling, anchoring, placement of drilling rigs or production facilities on the sea floor, or installation of pipelines, licensees should be required to use high-resolution seismic survey (i.e., geohazards) data, 3D seismic survey data, and any other pertinent information available to identify hard bottom areas that could support important benthic communities Poseidonia's meadows or deepwater coral communities. If any such areas are identified, licensees should be required to conduct muds and cuttings discharge modeling to establish a separation distance that will protect these hard bottom areas and associated biological communities. In other parts of the world (i.e., the Gulf of Mexico), licensees are required to maintain the following separation distances: at least 500 m from each proposed drilling fluid and cuttings discharge location, and at least 100 m from the location of all other proposed sea floor disturbances (including those caused by anchors, anchor chains, wire ropes, sea floor template installation, and pipeline construction) (MMS, 2004).

Issue 3: Effects of Sea Floor Disturbances and Drilling Discharges on Chemosynthetic Communities

It has been shown that the sediments of the Eastern Mediterranean are characterized by a relatively stable "benthic microbial loop" which consists of multiple levels (Tselepides *et al.* 2007). Although the information on benthic microbial populations in the region of interest is limited, the general conclusion that emerges from comparative studies is that the microbial populations of Eastern Mediterranean is completely different from those of other regions (Kouridaki *et al.* 2010), while in general the sediments of the deep Mediterranean Sea can be classified as "rich hotspots" in microbial activity and biodiversity (Boetius *et al.* 1996, Danovaro *et al.* 2010, Luna *et al.* 2004). In the southwest tip of the Peloponnese, South Pylos (blocks 9.11) were recorded as dominant the Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria (Kouridaki *et al.* 2010), as reported by earlier studies (Polymenakou *et al.* 2005).

Chemosynthetic communities are rare, often high-density deepwater assemblages that exist independent of photosynthesis. They are based on symbiotic bacteria that oxidise simple compounds such as hydrogen sulfide and methane. At water depths beyond those supporting photosynthesis and where seepage of hydrocarbons, venting of hydrothermal fluids, or other geological processes occur, chemosynthesis can become the dominant ecosystem process.

The existence of chemosynthetic communities in the licence areas has not been documented, but the potential exists in the region.

During offshore hydrocarbon activities, chemosynthetic communities would be susceptible to physical damage from anchoring, placement of production facilities on the sea floor, and installation of pipelines. Because they do not depend on sunlight, chemosynthetic communities are not likely to be significantly affected by turbidity from drilling discharges. However, if present, they could be adversely affected or buried by discharged materials settling on them.

Chemosynthetic communities are considered environmentally sensitive resources and are recognized by the European Community as vulnerable habitats needing protection. The SEA did not identify any EU or national regulations or guidelines specifically protecting chemosynthetic communities during offshore

hydrocarbon activities. In the absence of EU regulations, guidance is available from experience in another region where chemosynthetic communities have been discovered near intense offshore hydrocarbon activities – the Gulf of Mexico. Studies in that area have shown that high-density chemosynthetic sites are associated with recognizable geophysical features and can be effectively avoided.

Recommendation: Licensees proposing to conduct exploration or exploitation activities within the licence area that involve drilling, anchoring, placement of drilling rigs or production facilities on the sea floor, or installation of pipelines should be required to use high-resolution seismic survey (i.e., geohazards) data, 3D seismic data, and any other pertinent information available, to identify shallow geologic features that could support high-density chemosynthetic communities. If any such features are identified, licensees should be required to maintain the following separation distances: at least 500 m from each proposed drilling fluid and cuttings discharge location, and at least 100 m from the location of all other proposed sea floor disturbances (including those caused by anchors, anchor chains, wire ropes, sea floor template installation, and pipeline construction)

Issue 4: Effects of Sea Floor Disturbances on Shipwrecks and Submerged Archaeological Resources

The license area is in a region where historical shipwrecks and other submerged archaeological resources are likely to be present. These features are susceptible to physical damage from sea floor-disturbing activities such as anchoring, placement of production facilities on the sea floor, and installation of pipelines. In addition to the area submersible telecommunication cables exist.

Based on experience in the Gulf of Mexico, a region where shipwrecks have been discovered near offshore hydrocarbon activities, these resources can be protected by requiring remote sensing surveys and an archaeological assessment prior to conducting sea floor-disturbing activities. Typically, such archaeological surveys and assessments are conducted in conjunction with other surveys that an operator conducts prior to drilling or production (e.g., for shallow geohazards).

Recommendation: Prior to conducting exploration or exploitation activities that involve anchoring, placement of drilling rigs or production facilities on the sea floor, or installation of pipelines, licensees should be required to conduct a remote sensing survey of the sea floor to evaluate the potential for shipwrecks and other submerged archaeological resources. Licensees should be required to submit an archaeological assessment report by a qualified marine archaeologist to include any identified archaeological resources and recommendations for avoidance or further investigation. Based on the report, the Ministry could require avoidance or other protective measures.

Issue 5: Effects of Seismic Survey Vessels and Towed Streamers on Fishing, aquacultures and Shipping

All kinds of fish are likely to listen according to different degrees of sensitivity within the frequency spectrum of the sound generated by seismic airguns. The strong sound wave generated by seismic surveys can have different harmful effects on fish fauna, and even the different species have different degrees of sensitivity to certain sounds (Hawkins, 1973, Popper and Fay, 1973; Tavolga et al, 1981; Fay, 1988; Popper and Fay, 1993; Fay, 2000). The seismic vibrations can cause temporary or permanent hearing impairment in some species, but, as in the case of marine mammals, would be unlikely to cause serious injury, unless the distance is very short. Due to the large differences in the physiology and morphology between fish species, behavioral responses and sensitivity of fish to acoustic trauma vary greatly. The main effects are mainly concentrated in fish hearing system, the orientation system, the ability to find food, their reproductive success and to avoid predators (Popper and Clarke, 1976; Ha, 1985). The noise

from seismic surveys can also cause concealment of sounds used by fish for their usual acoustic behaviors (Popper and Clarke, 1976; Ha, 1985).

Is a fact that studies of seismic surveys effects on fish have shown mixed results (Davis et al. 1998). There are studies which have shown little or no effect (Turnpenny et al., 1994). According to the literature, there have been documented cases of reduction of fish caught by trawlers and longliners, in areas close to conducting seismic surveys (Hirst and Rodhouse, 2000; Slotte et al., 2004), while direct observations showed that reef fish remained in their niches (Wardle et al., 2001).

During seismic surveys, a moving safety zone is maintained around the vessel and its towed streamers. The moving safety zone is necessary to prevent fishing vessels or other ships from damaging the survey equipment. A typical example could be 20 km long and 12 km wide and, if moving at 4.5 knots (8.3 km/hr), could take 2 to 3 hours to pass a particular point. Fishing activities in the licence area, including bottom trawling and long-lining, may be temporarily interrupted due to the extent of the moving safety zone around the survey vessel. The safety zones could result in temporary exclusion of fishing boats and other ships from certain areas. Some vessels would need to detour around the area. There is also the possibility of entanglement with long-line sets.

The Ministry of Environment can require licensees to ensure that operations are conducted in an environmentally acceptable and safe manner, consistent with the applicable environmental legislation and good international industry practice. Also, it is assumed that survey vessels would use appropriate signals in accordance with International Maritime Law (including communications via radio, lights, and flags) to warn other vessels of the exclusion zone.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Implementation of voluntary measures as the soft start, in order to reduce impact on fish. Licensees should be required to consult with stakeholders prior to conducting streamer surveys to ensure that conflicts with fishing, aquaculture and shipping activities are minimizand ed.

Issue 6: Effects of Well Testing on Air and Water Quality

If a hydrocarbon formation is discovered during exploratory drilling, well testing may be conducted, in order to determine the productive capacity, pressure, permeability, and/or extent of a hydrocarbon reservoir. If hydrocarbons are brought to the surface during the well test, they are disposed of by burning. This combustion will result in emissions to the atmosphere. Air pollutant emissions from well testing will have a localized effect on air quality near the well site during the test period. Due to the distance offshore, no effects on coastal or onshore air quality are expected. However, fallout of oil droplets from well testing can produce a sheen on the sea surface, which would represent a significant effect.

Recommendation: During well testing, licensees should be required to

- (1) use a high-efficiency burner to reduce the amount of hydrocarbon fallout and
- (2) monitor the sea surface to ensure that no visible sheen is produced.
- (3) compliance to MARPOL requirements in relation to all kind of wastes, effluents, emmissions

Issue 7: Effects of Helicopter Traffic on Important Bird Areas

Vessel and helicopter traffic could periodically disturb individuals or groups of coastal birds. The effects would be similar to those of existing vessel and aircraft traffic. It is likely that individual birds would experience at most a short-term, behavioral disruption, and the effect is considered minor. However, significant effects could occur if helicopters traveled frequently over Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Birds Directive, or other Important Bird Areas (IBAs). There are currently 10 designated SPAs in the under study area (see Table 4.20).

<u>Recommendation</u>: Licensees should be advised that helicopters engaged in support operations should avoid flying over SPAs and IBAs when traveling to or from the drilling rig. A map of SPAs and IBAs should be provided for this purpose.

Issue 8: Effects of Structure Removals on Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles

If offshore production facilities are established in the license area, they would eventually be decommissioned at the end of their useful life. During decommissioning, offshore production facilities such as platforms would be removed. Typically, the platform legs are cut at the sea floor, sometimes using explosives. For offshore pipelines, the most common international practice is to clean the pipeline and abandon it in place. If explosive charges are used for platform removal, then there is the potential for effects on marine mammals and sea turtles, including endangered, critically endangered, and vulnerable species. The risk of deaths and injuries of marine mammals and turtles can be effectively avoided through monitoring during removal operations.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Licensees should be required to follow international best practice for safe structure removal during decommissioning. Prior to structure removals, a decommissioning plan should be prepared that includes monitoring for the presence of marine mammals and sea turtles to avoid effects of underwater detonations.

Issue 9: Effects of Oil Spills and hydrogen sulphide on the Marine Environment

Oil spills are rare events, but the environmental and socioeconomic effects can be significant. The effect could vary substantially depending on the size of the spill, its chemical characteristics, the oceanographic and meteorological conditions at the time, and the effectiveness of spill response measures.

Spill prevention measures and contingency planning are key elements in reducing the risk of significant effects from oil spills.

The Ministry of Environment can require by the licensees to prepare and submit to the Minister for evaluation and approval a contingency plan for hydrocarbon leakage and fire. In the event of leakage or fire, the licensee immediately applies the relevant contingency plan. The licensee is required to take reasonable and necessary measures in accordance with generally accepted practices in the international petroleum industry (QSHE), which are based to OSPAR, MARPOL, ISO, etc.

Given the fact that the result of an accident during the course of research or production wells in the region, or at the operating time, will create an oil spill, it is necessary to create infrastructure that is able to reproduce at any time the progression and dispersion of pollutants. The majority of oil spills that have occurred in the past were mostly superficial, but the last major incident that took place in 2010 on the platform 'Deepwater Horizon' in the Gulf of Mexico produced subsurface spot. The upcoming installation of drilling worksite requires extensive study of marine currents in the area of

research, especially in the region that mediates between the points of drilling and the adjacent coast. The only way that allows for high-frequency (every one or every half hour) recording the whole field of surface currents of an area of hundreds of square kilometers, is coastal high frequency radars (HF radars).

Oil spill trajectory modeling is a useful aid in contingency planning. The oil spill trajectory model of POSEIDON which is available by HCMR has been used in the region of Aegean Sea in the frame of programs and the needs of the Regional Marine Pollution Emergency Response Centre (REMPEC).

An example of the application of the POSEIDON oil spill model in the under study area of Ionian Sea has been run in the frame of the present SEA, using meteorological and oceanographic data of the 10th November 2011. The results are presented in chapter 4.1.4 and in this Appendix. Additional modeling of trajectories over multiple seasons and spill sites would aid in predicting the fate of an oil spill in the licence area, identifying potentially affected environmental resources, and determining minimum response times for contingency planning.

Finally arbitrary leakage of hydrogen sulphide due to an accident can have a significant impacts on air quality and human health, but are limited to very short distances from the emission source. The extent of the impact depends on the concentrations of hydrogen sulphide and the meteorological conditions prevailing at the time of the accident. The holder of a drilling permit for the drilling wells areas is required to have gathered information on expected levels of hydrogen sulfide and present them during the approval process of mining. Where high concentrations are expected in the course of extraction is also required to have an 'emergency plan' to address any accidental emission of H₂S.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Elaboration by the license holders of a plan to assess the hydrocarbon leak probability and possible resulting fire and how to fight against them, but also in accordance with the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973 (MARPOL), ships as rigs are required to have in place a contingency plan for dealing with oil pollution (Shipboard Oil Pollution and Emergency Plan, SOPEP), submitted in delegating Ministry for evaluation and approval.

Installation and operation of high-frequency coastal radar systems for many years to record currents.

Conduct additional spill trajectory model to identify likely spill trajectories from multiple launch points in the license areas, using seasonal meteorological and oceanographic data.

Use the combination of results from HF radars and models to determine the likely fate of spills in the license area, potentially affected environmental resources, and minimum times for a spill to reach shorelines of Western Peloponissos, Western Sterea Ellas and Ionian islands.

The providing the license authority may require by contract for each well drilled the holder of the license to report the geological and geophysical information on expected levels of hydrogen sulfide, as well as on the safety regulations applicable at the stage of the opening of the well.

Issue 10: Transboundary Environmental Impact

Cross-border environmental impacts are referring in an area under the jurisdiction of a state, while the cause is in another state jurisdiction. The Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context is necessary for legal reasons, as required by a number of provisions of the National, European or international law, but is also necessary for practical reasons, since the environment is not "recognizing" borders, since the forwarding or transmission processes of the cause of an effect, particularly in the marine environment is uniform, although parts of the affected areas may belong to the jurisdiction of different states

The main conventions, directives, laws governing provisions and obligations relating to the prevention and addressing transboundary impacts of exploration and exploitation activities of Hydrocarbons, are:

- European Directive on Environmental Impact Assessment (85/337)
- Barcelona Convention (1976 "Treaty on the Protection of the Mediterranean against pollution», Offshore Protocol)
- OPRC Convention (Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation, ratified by Law. 2252/1994)
- UNCLOS Convention (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea) of the United Nations. The contract was ratified in Greece in 1995 (Law. 2321/95 FEK136A / 23.6.1995).
- Directive 2001/42 and the CMD 107017/2006
- ESPOO Convention and its Protocol of Kiev.
- Directive 2013/30 / EU "For the safety of offshore oil operations and amending Directive 2004/35 / EC (Official Journal of the European Union L 178, 06.28.2013

Most of the impacts of offshore hydrocarbons activities are located in the immediate vicinity of the wells, pipelines, and other facilities and sites therefore are unlikely to affect neighboring countries. However, the licensing areas for exploration and exploitation of hydrocarbons in the Ionian Sea are adjacent to the sea borders of several Mediterranean countries, including Albania, Italy, Malta and Libya.

Under normal conditions the direct and cumulative environmental effects of the activities of prospecting, exploration and exploitation of hydrocarbons is estimated that concern local impact and not likely to affect the environment of neighbouring countries. However, a major accident during or as a result of hydrocarbon activities, may result potentially to transboundary environmental effects.

The evaluation of potential transboundary effects (see Table 1.III), based on impact factors for each phase of the hydrocarbons operations (prospecting, exploration and exploitation), as well as accidents, identifies two sources of potentially significant transboundary effects - a crude oil slick due to an explosion and diesel oil leakage. That relates to accidents only.

Every program to perform seismic, drilling and production activities must include measures to deal with potential emergencies that pose a threat to humans, the environment or assets.

However, even with the most careful planning, studying and applying correct procedures, best practices and proper training of personnel such casualties can occur, as (E & P Forum / UNEP, 1997):

- discharge of fuel, oil, gas, chemical and hazardous materials,
- boom in oil and gas drilling (blowout),
- fires (on site or in the surroundings)
- unplanned loss of facilities and shutdown events,
- natural disasters and their impact on operations, for example, floods, earthquakes, and
- · war or sabotage.

The incidence of serious accidents (Table IV) is higher during the drilling stage of exploratory wells.

The exploration and exploitation of hydrocarbons in the Eastern Mediterranean could serve for a greater cooperation at regional level, through setting environmental standards for offshore hydrocarbon activities, methods for ensuring safety in offshore oil activities and strengthening institutional structures. At this point, reference should be made in the absence of accidents in the region, despite its long Italian activity in marine oil operations, which means that both the region not characterized by increased risk and secondly that there is accumulated knowledge and experience which could be exploited

In conclusion, during the process of hydrocarbon activities the relevant provisions of the Barcelona Convention should be taken into account, which regulates in detail such matters, the EU Directives (eg Directive 2013/30) and the Law of the Sea and other legislative frameworks. In addition, a detailed analysis of the provisions of the Barcelona Convention offshore Protocol and its Annexes must be undertaken, in order to confirm that all the research and exploitation activities of hydrocarbons in the license area are in line with the requirements of this protocol.

On ensuring cross-border availability and compatibility of intervention tools, it is noted that Greece is a member of the OPRC Convention (Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation, n. 2252/1994) which regulates in detail such issues, while poses the needed National Contingency Plan in order to address pollution from oil and other harmful substances (PD 11/2002).

Table IV. Potential transboundary impacts every phase of hydrocarbon activities (prospecting, exploration and exploitation), as well as accidents.

Impact factor	Possible transboundary effect	Possible significance of transboundary effect
Prospecting		
Airgun Noise	The noise can be detected many kilometers away, but the risk of significant effects (eg in marine mammals and turtles) is limited to a few hundred meters from the seismic survey vessels	No
Ships traffic and towed equipment.	A slight chance of minimal extension of the research lines and towed equipment in the marine area of another State.	No
Waste discharges	Dissolve rapidly near the ships	No
Air pollutants emissions	Dissolve rapidly near the ships	No
Seafloor disturbance	The effects are limited to areas that are wires or equipment on the seabed	No
Exploration		
Installation, presence and removal of the drill	The effects are limited close to the drilling and anchoring areas	No

Drilling waste	Turbidity plumes may extend a few kilometers from the rigs and thin films of drilling fluids can spread in several kilometers	No?
Other wastes	Dissolve quickly near the rigs	No
Marine debris	Floating debris can be dispersed in large areas, But the effects on the bottom are limited near the drilling	No
Air pollutants emissions	Spread quickly near the drilling rig	No
Drilling test	Emissions and oil droplets dispersed by precipitation near the drilling rig	No
Support activities	The effects can appear between the well and the Greek Ionian coast, where perhaps there will be onshore facilities	No
Exploitation (Development and Production)		
Facilities	Effects mainly near the drilling and along the pipelines towards the mainland side of the Ionian Sea	No
Infrastructure presence	Effects in the vicinity of the offshore infrastructures.	No
Drilling waste	Turbidity plumes may extend a few kilometers from the rigs and thin films of drilling fluids can spread in several kilometers	
Operating waste	The wastes quickly dissolve near the offshore installations	No
Marine debris	Floating debris can be dispersed in large areas, limited effects on the bottom near the drilling site	No
Air pollutants emissions	Spread quickly near the drilling rig	No

Support activities	The effects can appear between the well and the Greek Ionian coast, where perhaps there will be onshore facilities	No
Infrastructure removal	Effects in the vicinity of the offshore infrastructures.	No
Accidents		
Crude oil slick due to explosion	The effects can be extended to neighboring territories, depending on the volume of the slick, chemical composition, oceanographic and meteorological conditions, and effectiveness of the slick countermeasures	YES
Diesel oil slick	The effects can be extended to neighboring territories, depending on the volume of the slick, chemical composition, oceanographic and meteorological conditions, and effectiveness of the slick countermeasures	YES
Spot due to drilling fluids	The impact is limited near the rigs	No
Leak or slick due to liquids from streamer cables	Effects are limited near the ships operating seismic surveys	No
Release of Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	The impact is limited near the rigs and offshore production facilities	No

5.2 Recommendations for Additional Control, Management, and Monitoring

Greece has revised its legal framework to harmonize it with Directive 94/22/EC of the European Parliament on conditions for granting and using authorizations for the prospecting, exploration, and production of hydrocarbon according to KYA 107017/28.8.2006 "Assessment of environmental effects of certain plans and programs, in accordance to the provisions of Directive 92/44/EC".

No EU directives or guidance were identified concerning regulation of discharges from offshore hydrocarbon activities.

However there is the European Directive 2013/30 / EU on the safety of offshore oil and gas operations as well as, three parallel sets of guidance have been used by other EU countries: the OSPAR Convention, the Barcelona Convention and the International MARPOL convention 73/78...

Directive 2013/30 / EU on the safety of offshore oil and gas operations: Directive 2013/30 / EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 June 2013 is on the safety of offshore oil and gas operations and amending the Directive 2004/35/EC.

This Directive lays down minimum standards for the prevention of major accidents during offshore oil and gas operations and limiting the consequences of such accidents. It shall not prejudice Union law as regards the safety and health of workers at work, in particular Directives 89/391 / EEC and 92/91 / EEC and without prejudice to Directive 94/22 / EC, 2001/42 / EC, 2003/4 / EC (19), 2003/35 / EC, 2010/75 / EU (20) and 2011/92 / EU.

The directive without making stricter environmental conditions in the oil and gas exploration and production activities in marine areas, is introducing a more systematic follow-up of operations. Mainly provides a detailed examination of the capacity (financial and technical) of the contractors to cope with the environmental requirements of these activities. To monitor the work, provides for the establishment of an independent authority which should be separated from the corresponding attending issues related with the technical and economic research and production of hydrocarbons. It is worth noting that according to the new directive the independent authority to which are communicated any plans to carry out for the research or exploitation, has the right if necessary, even to prohibit the start of work. Also, companies that operate in a Member State, and are simultaneously operate exploitation or research in another Member State of the EU, are obliged upon request, to submit a report about the circumstances of any serious accident in which they are involved.

The incorporation of the directive into Greek legislation is underway

OSPAR Convention: For most of the hydrocarbon-producing states of western Europe (contracting parties are Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom), the "Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic" (OSPAR Convention) is the basis for national laws governing the discharge of offshore effluents.

Activities under the OSPAR Convention are organized into six strategies among which the fourth is about offshore oil and gas industry. The offshore oil and gas industry strategy includes decisions and recommendations concerning offshore chemicals, produced water, organic-phase drilling fluids, management of offshore cuttings piles, disposal of disused offshore installations, environmental management systems, toxicity testing, monitoring and reporting, and related topics. Member states commit to implementing OSPAR decisions and recommendations in their national regulatory system.

Barcelona Convention: In 1976, 16 Mediterranean countries adopted the "Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution" (Barcelona Convention). The Barcelona Convention includes an offshore protocol specifically developed to control pollution during offshore hydrocarbon activities and has the purpose to activate collaboration between all the Mediterranean countries for prevention, mitigation and fight the marine pollution and was amended in 1980. This protocol was adopted in 1994 and has been signed and ratified by Greece by Laws N. 855/1978 (ΦΕΚ 235/A/23.12.1978), N. 1634/1986 (ΦΕΚ104/A) and N.3022/2002 (ΦΕΚ 114/A). The offshore protocol addresses control of harmful or noxious substances and materials; oil and oily mixtures; drilling fluids and cuttings; sewage; garbage; reception facilities, instructions, and sanctions; safety measures; contingency planning; monitoring; removal of installations; specially protected areas; and transboundary pollution.

The Barcelona Convention offshore protocol is the basis for produced water discharge standards in several Mediterranean EU countries and would be a logical starting point for Greece to develop discharge requirements for offshore hydrocarbon activities. In addition, the Barcelona Convention offshore protocol provides a comprehensive set of guidelines for various aspects of offshore activities in a single document, in contrast to the numerous decisions, recommendations, and amendments of the OSPAR Convention.

<u>International Convention MARPOL 73/78</u>: The International MARPOL Convention (Marine Pollution) 73/78 «about prevention of marine pollution by ships» was the result of 1973 Convention and 1978 meeting – MARPOL protocol. This convention was put into effect in 2 October 1983 for Appendix I concerning oil and for Appendix II concerning harmful liquid chemical substances.

- Appendix V, about discharges was put in effect in 31 December 1988.
- Appendix III, about harmful substances carried in package was put in effect in 1st July 1992.
- Appendix IV, about sewage wqs put in effect in 27 September 1997.
- Appendix VI, about atmosphaeric pollution, adopted in September 1997.

This convention put the legal frame prevention of pollution by ships, by determining pollution thresholds of shipborne minimal discharges and anyway in a specified distance from nearest coast. In addition, in "Special Areas" as is the Mediterranean Area almost any type of discharge from ships is forbidden. Specifically, the 1973 convention defined as special areas the Mediterranean, Black Sea, Baltic Sea, Red Sea, and the Persian Gulfs, which are considered sensitive/vulnerable for oil pollution, thus any oil discharges are forbidden. Amendments in the technical appendices of MARPOL 73/78 convention began in 1984, in order to continuously try to prevent and secure protection of the marine environment from shipborne pollution.

In Greece the International convention MARPOL 73/78 was ratified by Law 1269/1982 (ΦΕΚ 89/A/21.7.1982).

Recommendation: Discharge requirements should be established for drilling fluid and cuttings, produced water, and other effluents from hydrocarbon activities in the license area. In developing the requirements, the Barcelona Convention offshore protocol and the OSPAR Convention offshore oil and gas industry strategy should be considered as sources of guidance., as well as MARPOL convention and the new directive 2013/30/EC. In addition, it is recommended that detailed requirements of the Barcelona Convention offshore protocol and its annexes should be reviewed to ensure that all hydrocarbon activities in the license area are consistent with the requirements of the protocol.

5.3 Data Gaps

This Strategic Environmental Assessment Report for Ionian Sea and Messiniakos and Lakonikos gulf includes a review of existing environmental and socioeconomic data for the region. While data gaps are noted for several individual topics, only those relevant to the offshore licensing program are listed here.


Although during drafting of this SEA the lack of data relating to a number of issues was evident, only those that are relevant to the licensing program are listed here and which should be the subject of individual specific Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA), which will follow.

Of great importance is the lack of knowledge concerning the ecology of the benthic communities, including the extent and biological characteristics of its Posidonia meadows, possible deepwater coral communities and chemosynthetic communities. In order to fill this gap, a reconnaissance study of these communities would need to be conducted, including a combination of side-scan sonar surveys to map the extent of emergent hard bottom, visual observations (e.g., using a benthic camera) to document the presence of deepwater corals and other epifauna in relation to sea floor characteristics, and collection of benthic samples (e.g., dredges, trawls, box cores) to aid in identifying the fauna. Filling these data gaps will be useful in establishing a better baseline of the pre-existing environmental conditions, but this is not considered a prerequisite to continuing with the licensing activities.

In summary, the relevant data gaps identified in the Environmental Report, with recommendations for further study, are as follows:

- Assessment of the ecology and extend of important benthic communities, including deepwater corals if existing;
- Measurements of hydrocarbon and trace metal concentrations in sea floor sediments from the license area, particulate matter and sedimentation rates, to provide a useful baseline for detecting future changes due to offshore hydrocarbon activities;
- The chemical characteristics of materials of drilling that will reach the marine environment should be within the tolerance limits of the ecosystem in which are directed. It is therefore necessary a qualitative study of excavated materials, and environmental monitoring of the marine area where the excavated material will be rejected, before, during and after the rejection, as explicitly mentioned by the Application Instructions issued by the United Nations Environment program for management of excavation in the Mediterranean Sea (UNEP / MED POL 2000) and required by national legislation and international treaties (London 1972 and Barcelona 1976 together with the accompanying Protocols) concerning the protection of the marine environment.
- Because the high seismicity of the area is a potential risk for exploitation of possible undersea hydrocarbon deposits since it is a main factor causing geo-hazards such as underwater gravitational movements sediments (slips, mass-scree flows turbidite currents), bottom drilling, or massive leaks of fluid from the bottom and the possible induction of tsunamis, recommend the systematic recording of mikroseismic activity in the region, by placing local amphibian networks of seismographs and preparing special geo-hazard analysis study with emphasis on slope stability-landslides in existence craters, escape of hydrocarbon gases, mud volcanoes and hydrates. Note that the national networks of seismographs are not sufficient at least to the precision required in this type of important marine engineering projects. This study will be developed after having progressed sufficiently the research on probable reserves and maturing the design to exploit them, in order to be known the points on which to focus the hydrocarbon activities in the total concession area.
- Measurements of pollutants (hydrocarbons, heavy metals) is proposed to be done before the start
 of activities in indicators-organisms (bivalves, fish) of the region, to complement the few existing
 data, which are sporadic and insufficient, and thus to be used as basis for future environmental
 monitoring of the area
- Extension or increase of resolution of the existing ocean flow models, in order to improve the
 accuracy and reliability of oil spill fate and trajectory modeling; It should in this EIS also develop
 contingency plans, informing the stakeholders, action planning, staff training, in constant
 readiness to tackle emergencies
- In connection with the above, it could be foreseen to provide installation of telemetric monitoring stations, radar, and create a database that will be linked to early warning system for preventing and treating oil spills and assist decision making. Also to emphasize areas NATURA 2000. Additional information on areas NATURA, SACs, SPAs in relation to imprint ecological corridors, determination of reproductive activities, immigration etc.
- Collection of additional subsurface current, meteorological data, temperature, and salinity data, atmospheric pollutants in the licence area at sufficiently high temporal and/or spatial resolution to constrain ocean flow forecasting models and circulation hypotheses because existing data is old or missing. Also in the absence of recent measurements relating to distributions of oxygen, suspended material and nutrients highlighted is the necessity of depicting and monitoring of the current situation.

- Mapping of shipwrecks, telecommunication cables and submerged archaeological resources.
- To develop proposals for resolving potential problems and restore the landscape in connection with possible visual impairment from the permanent facilities on the landscape, if any, and following possible impact on tourism will be done as part of specialized EIA per position per drilling and production position, as provided by the environmental licensing laws (n. 4014/2011, 1958/2011 UNHCR etc.). In the same EIA and the respective decisions approving environmental conditions should be investigated, whenever the need, the type and the specific characteristics of countervailing measures, especially in areas of the network Natura 2000 (n. 4014/2011, art. 2 Fri the 7th and arts . 10 Fri the 4th and UNHCR oik.48963 / 2012, para. 7.8) or as regards the prevention and remedying of environmental damage (PD 148/2009). Finally, the same EIA should examine the methods and environmental rehabilitation safeguards against the withdrawal or uninstallation steps.
- A study will further explore the necessary measures to avoid, minimize or compensate for the
 possible negative impact of hydrocarbon activities in the financial, etc. activities in these areas,
 especially in tourism, but also more detailed examination of alternative scenarios to create an area
 of protection in the coastline and the activities that take place in it. Also the refinery installation
 issue or sea transport of crude oil should be considered on an assessment of damage and benefits.
- A proposal for specifications for the establishment of an independent technical advisers
 committee to assist the local community within the involved regions as universities, etc., to
 control environmental conditions and technical control standards in all work of exploration and
 exploitation-production of hydrocarbons and the establishing of a Control and Coordination
 Agency for facing emergent high-risk incidents in connection with the incremental technical
 advisors



ΠΑΡΑΡΤΗΜΑ ΣΤ

E	KMETAAAI	ΣΤΗ ΠΕΡΊΒΑ ΕΥΣΉΣ ΥΔΡ	OFONANOI	ΡΑΚΩΝ ΣΤ	DAYTIKO I	IATPAÏKO	Η ΕΡΕΥΝΑΣ (ΚΟΛΠΟ

The available Blocks are delimited by the following geographical coordinates

Block No	Longitude	Latitude
	18° 55' 0" E	39° 55' 0" N
	19° 10' 0" E	39° 55' 0" N
	19° 10' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
	19° 15' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
Block 1*	19° 15' 0" E	39° 45' 0" N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 45' 0" N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 40' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	39° 40' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
	19° 45' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
	19° 45' 0" E	40° 0' 0" N
	18° 55' 0" E	39° 55' 0" N
	19° 10' 0" E	39° 55' 0" N
	19° 10' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
Block 2*	19° 15' 0" E	39° 50' 0" N
	19° 15' 0" E	39° 45' 0" N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 45′ 0" N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 20' 0" N
	18° 55' 0" E	39° 20' 0" N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 40' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	39° 40' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	39° 35' 0" N
	19° 40' 0" E	39° 35' 0" N
	19° 40' 0" E	39° 30' 0" N
	19° 45' 0" E	39° 30' 0" N
	19° 45' 0" E	39° 25' 0" N
	19° 50' 0" E	39° 25' 0" N
	19° 50' 0" E	39° 20' 0" N
Block 3	20° 5' 0" E	39° 20' 0" N
	20° 5' 0" E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	20° 0' 0" E	39° 5′ 0″ N

	200 01 01 E	20° EO! O!! N
	20° 0' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	19° 35′ 0″ E	38° 50' 0" N
	19° 35′ 0″ E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	19° 30' 0" E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	19° 30' 0" E	39° 15′ 0″ N
	19° 25′ 0″ E	39° 15′ 0″ N
	18° 55' 0" E	39° 20′ 0″ N
	19° 25' 0" E	39° 20′ 0″ N
	19° 25′ 0″ E	39° 15' 0" N
	19° 30′ 0″ E	39° 15′ 0″ N
Block 4*	19° 30′ 0″ E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	19° 35' 0" E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	19° 35' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	18° 50' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	18° 50' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	38° 15' 0" N
	19° 20' 0" E	38° 15' 0" N
Block 5*	19° 20' 0™ E	38° 25' 0" N
	19° 0' 0" E	38° 25' 0" N
	19° 0' 0" E	38° 35′ 0″ N
	18° 50' 0" E	38° 35' 0" N
	18° 50' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	19° 35' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	20° 0' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	20° 0' 0" E	38° 30' 0" N
Block 6	20° 15' 0™ E	38° 30' 0" N
	20° 15' 0™ E	38° 5′ 0″ N
	19° 35' 0" E	38° 5' 0" N
	20° 0' 0" E	39° 5′ 0″ N
	20° 30' 0" E	39° 5' 0" N
	20° 30' 0" E	39° 0' 0" N
	20° 35' 0" E	39° 0' 0" N
	20° 35' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
Block 7	20° 30' 0" E	38° 50' 0" N
	20° 30' 0" E	38° 25' 0" N
	20° 15' 0" E	38° 25' 0" N
	20° 15′ 0″ E	38° 30' 0" N
	20° 0' 0" E	38° 30' 0" N
	19° 50' 0" E	38° 5' 0" N
<u>_</u> _		

	20° 25' 0" E	38° 5′ 0″ N
	20° 25' 0" E	38° 0′ 0′ N
	20° 35' 0" E	38° 0′ 0″ N
Block 8	20° 35' 0" E	37° 25' 0" N
DIOCK 0	20° 0' 0" E	37° 25' 0" N
	20° 0' 0" E	37° 30' 0" N
		37° 30' 0 'N
	19° 50' 0" E	
	20° 35′ 0″ E	37° 35' 0" N
	20° 55' 0" E	37° 35' 0" N
	20° 55' 0" E	37° 10' 0" N
	21° 0′ 0″ E	37° 10' 0" N
Block 9	21° 0′ 0″ E	36° 50' 0" N
	20° 10' 0" E	36° 50′ 0″ N
	20° 10' 0" E	37° 25' 0" N
	20° 35′ 0 [™] E	37° 25′ 0″ N
	20° 55' 0* E	37° 30' 0" N
	21° 30' 0* E	37° 30′ 0″ N
	21° 30' 0* E	37° 10' 0" N
	21° 25' 0™ E	37° 10′ 0″ N
Block 10	21° 25' 0" E	37° 0′ 0″ N
	21° 30' 0" E	37° 0′ 0″ N
	21° 30' 0* E	36° 50' 0" N
	21° 0' 0" E	36° 50' 0" N
	21° 0' 0" E	37° 10' 0" N
	20° 55' 0* E	37° 10′ 0″ N
	21° 35′ 0* E	36° 40′ 0″ N
	22° 0' 0" E	36° 40' 0" N
	22° 0' 0" E	36° 50' 0" N
	22° 10' 0" E	36° 50' 0" N
	22° 10' 0" E	36° 40' 0" N
	22° 15' 0" E	36° 40' 0" N
	22° 15' 0" E	36° 20' 0" N
	22° 35' 0" E	36° 20' 0" N
	22° 35' 0" E	36° 35' 0" N
	22° 50' 0" E	36° 35' 0" N
	22° 50' 0" E	36° 5' 0'' N
Block 11	23° 0' 0" E	36° 5′ 0′′ N
	23° 0' 0" E	35° 55' 0" N
	22° 50' 0" E	35° 55' 0" N
	22° 50' 0* E	35° 50' 0" N
	22° 20' 0" E	35° 50' 0" N

	22° 20' 0" E	36° 0′ 0″ N
	22° 10' 0" E	36° 0' 0" N
	22° 10' 0 [™] E	36° 10′ 0″ N
	22° 0' 0" E	36° 10′ 0″ N
	22° 0' 0" E	36° 20' 0" N
	21° 45′ 0" E	36° 20' 0" N
	21° 45′ 0" E	36° 25′ 0" N
	21° 35' 0" E	36° 25' 0" N
	22° 55' 0" E	35° 40' 0" N
	23° 25' 0" E	35° 40' 0" N
	23° 25' 0" E	35° 10′ 0″ N
Block 12	23° 40' 0" E	35° 10' 0" N
	23° 40' 0" E	34° 40' 0" N
	22° 50' 0" E	34° 40′ 0″ N
	22° 50' 0" E	35° 35' 0" N
	22° 55′ 0* E	35° 35' 0" N
	23° 40' 0" E	35° 10' 0" N
	23° 55' 0" E	35° 10' 0" N
	23° 55′ 0 [∞] E	35° 5′ 0″ N
Block 13	24° 30′ 0™ E	35° 5′ 0″ N
	24° 30' 0" E	35° 0' 0" N
	24° 35' 0" E	35° 0' 0" N
	24° 35′ 0″ E	34° 25' 0" N
	23° 40' 0" E	34° 25′ 0″ N
	24° 0' 0" E	34° 55′ 0″ N
Excluded		
inner part		
of block	24° 10′ 0″ E	34° 55′ 0″ N
	24° 10′ 0″ E	34° 45′ 0″ N
	24° 0' 0" E	34° 45′ 0″ N
	24° 35′ 0" E	35° 0' 0" N
	24° 40' 0" E	35° 0' 0" N
	24° 40' 0™ E	34° 50' 0" N
Block 14	25° 15' 0" E	34° 50' 0" N
	25° 15' 0" E	34° 55' 0" N
	25° 25' 0* E	34° 55' 0" N
	25° 25' 0* E	34° 10' 0" N
	24° 35' 0" E	34° 10' 0" N
	25° 25' 0" E	34° 55′ 0″ N

	25° 35′ 0″ E	34° 55' 0" N
	25° 35′ 0* E	34° 50' 0" N
Block 15	25° 50′ 0″ E	34° 50' 0" N
	25° 50' 0* E	34° 55' 0" N
	26° 15′ 0″ E	34° 55′ 0″ N
	26° 15' 0" E	34° 10′ 0″ N
	25° 25' 0" E	34° 10' 0" N
	21° 20' 0" E	34° 45' 0" N
	22° 10' 0" E	34° 45' 0" N
Block 16*	22° 10' 0" E	35° 0' 0" N
	22° 50' 0* E	35° 0' 0" N
	22° 50' 0" E	34° 0' 0" N
	22° 50′ 0* E	34° 0' 0" N
	22° 50' 0* E	34° 40' 0" N
	23° 40′ 0″ E	34° 40' 0" N
	23° 40' 0" E	34° 15′ 0″ N
Block 17*	23° 30′ 0* E	34° 15' 0" N
	23° 30' 0" E	34° 5′ 0″ N
	23° 20' 0" E	34° 5′ 0″ N
	23° 20' 0" E	33° 45′ 0″ N
	23° 20' 0* E	33° 45′ 0″ N
	23° 20' 0 [×] E	34° 5' 0" N
	23° 30′ 0™ E	34° 5' 0" N
	23° 30′ 0™ E	34° 15' 0" N
Block 18*	23° 40′ 0 [∞] E	34° 15' 0" N
	23° 40' 0" E	34° 25' 0" N
	24° 35' 0" E	34° 25' 0" N
	24° 35′ 0″ E	33° 25′ 0″ N
	24° 35′ 0″ E	33° 25' 0" N
Block 19*	24° 35′ 0″ E	34° 10' 0" N
	25° 25' 0" E	34° 10' 0" N
	25° 25′ 0* E	33° 20' 0" N
	25° 25′ 0* E	33° 20' 0" N
Block 20*	25° 25′ 0* E	34° 10' 0" N
	26° 15′ 0 [×] E	34° 10′ 0″ N
	26° 15′ 0″ E	33° 15′ 0″ N

The external boundaries of the block's labelled with asterisk * are delimited according to the existing bilateral agreements of delineation and in the case of absence of such agreements, by the median line as is described in article 2, §1 of law 2289/1995, as amended with article 156, §2 of law 4001/2011 (FEK A 179/22.08.201

	EKMETAA/	ΣΕΤΉ ΠΕΡΙΒΑΛΛΟΝΤ ΣΕΥΣΉΣ ΥΔΡΟΓΟΝΑΝ	ΘΡΑΚΩΝ ΣΤΟ Δ	ZN I IA TH HEMO: TIKO HATPAÏKO	ΚΟΛΠΟ

Δρ Βεσίλης Δυκούσης Διευθυντής Ινστιτούτου Τκεανογραφίας

Δρ Καλλιόπη Πάγκου

Ερευνήτρια Α΄

Επιστημονικός Υπεύθυνος ΣΜΠΕ

ΓΕΩΡΓΙΟΣ ΔΙΟΝ. ΣΙΓΑΛΟΣ
ΔΙΑΧΕΙΡΙΣΤΉΣ ARCENVIRO
ΚΑΤΟΧΟΣ ΠΤΎΧΙΟΥ ΜΕΛΕΤΗΤΉ 27Γ
ΑΡ. ΜΗΤΡΩΟΥ 12134

ΠΕΡΙΒΑΛΛΟΝ: ΣΙΓΑΛΟΣ ΠΕΡΙΒΑΛΛΟΝΤΟΚΟΓΟΣ ΜΑΚΡΥΓΙΑΝΗ 1 ΖΟΓΡΑΦΟΥ, 15772 ΤΗΛΙ 210 75 20 500; 16947 526042 Α.Φ.Μ.073607251-Δ.Ο.Υ. ΖΩΓΡΑΦΟΥ